STEREOCHEMISTRY OF THE VINYLOGOUS S_N2' REACTION William S. Murphy^{*} and Brendan O'Mahony Chemistry Department University College Cork, Ireland

Theoretical treatment indicates that the stereochemistry of both the vinylogous S_N^2 ' and 1,6-conjugate elimination reaction will be opposite to that of the S_N^2 ' and 1,4-conjugate elimination reaction.

Interest in the $S_N^{2^*}$ reaction continues unabated.¹ Attention has centred not alone on the mechanism² but also on synthetic³ and biosynthetic⁴ applications. In addition, interest in the related 1,4-conjugate elimination reaction is growing.^{4,5}

Most theoretical analyses⁶ of the S_N² reaction have indicated a preference for syn attack by the nucleophile. However, the possibility of *anti* stereochemistry has been allowed for in some instances.^{6C,e} In practice although syn stereochemistry has been most generally observed^{2C,7} recent results^{2D,8} have diverged from this.

We have now extended orbital symmetry methods^{6C} to the vinylogous S_N^{2} reaction (1).

Ňu Ś



The LUMO of the pentadiényl cation is ψ_3 . In the transition state C-1 and C-5 will be intermediate between sp^3 and p. Mixing the 2s and 2p AO's of C-1 and C-5 results in the structure (II) for the LUMO of the pentadienyl cation in the transition state. It is immediately apparent that (II) is symmetric relative to a mirror plane through its mid-point. The corresponding allyl transition state, structure (III), is antisymmetric relative to the same symmetry element.

It follows^{6C} that when C-1 bond formation is synchronous with C-5 bond cleavage, the attacking nucleophile must enter syn to the leaving group. Where C-1 bond formation is concerted but not synchronous with C-5 bond cleavage, the nucleophile must enter anti to the

leaving group. Hence all things being equal, the conditions which favour $syn-S_N^2$ ' reactions of allyl systems should result in an *anti* S_N^2 ' reaction in pentadienyl systems and *vice versa*.

Extension of this methodology⁶ to 1,6-conjugate elimination by either a concerted or Elcb mechanism shows that an anti process is favoured.

The stereochemistry of the vinylogous S_N^2 acetolysis of 68-bromoandrost-4-ene-3,17dione, a longstanding problem,⁹ has now been shown¹⁰ to be at least predominantly syn. 1,6-Conjugate elimination is known¹⁰ but is of unknown stereochemistry.

References

- 1. R.M. Magid, Tetrahedron, 1980, 36, 1901, and references therein.
- 2.(a) F.G. Bordwell, P.F. Wiley and T.G. Mecca, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 132;
- (b) T. Oritani and K.H. Overton, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 454; (c) R.M. Magid and O.S. Fruchey, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 2107.
- N. Ikota and B. Ganem, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 351; P.C. Cherry, G.I. Gregory, C.E. Newall, P. Ward and N.S. Watson, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 467.
- 4. K.H. Overton, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1979, 8, 447 and references therein.
- R.K. Hill and M.G. Bock, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 637 and references therein;
 A. Eschenmoser, paper presented at the RSC International Symposium, St. Andrews, Scotland, 21-25 July 1980.
- 6.(a) K. Fukui and H. Fujimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1967, 40, 2018; (b) W. Dreuth, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1967, 86, 318; (c) N.T. Ahn, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1968, 1089; (d) J. Mathieu, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1973, 807; (e) R.L. Yates, N.D. Epiotis and F. Bernardi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 6615; (f) C.L. Liotta, Tetrahedron Letters, 1975, 523; (g) E. Toromanoff, Tetrahedron, 1978, 34, 1665.
- 7. G. Stork and W.N. White, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 4609.
- G. Stork and A.F. Kreft, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 3850; A.A. Dobbie and K.H. Overton, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1977, 722.
- 9. C. Djerassi, G. Rosenkranz, J. Romo, S. Kaufmann and J. Pataki, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 4534.
- 10. R.D. Burnett and D.N. Kirk, J.C.S. Perkin 1, 1973, 1830.

(Received in UK 17 November 1980)